

From: Maren Toohill
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 202

Thanks, everyone for your work on this.

Circling back to the question of where this application goes from here. My recommendation is for the applicant to withdraw the PB stormwater permit application. It was important for the Town to go through the peer review exercise to understand how this work fit into the overall development plans for this site; through this analysis it became apparent that a separate Planning Board stormwater permit for the current work is not required.

A timing question for you – do you expect to have an ANR ready for the PB to review at the Jan. 8 or the Jan. 29 meeting (or later)?

Best,
Maren

From: James Thorne <jthorne@greenintl.com>
Sent: Monday, December 22, 2025 5:42 PM
To: Limhuot Tiv <ltiv@gpr-inc.com>
Cc: Bruce D. Ringwall <BRingwall@gpr-inc.com>; David Ketchen <dketchen@lelwd.com>; Maren Toohill <MToohill@littletonma.org>; Tim Pearson <tpearson@littletonma.org>; Lauren Redosh <lredosh@Littletonma.org>; Cooper Mathews <cmathews@littletonma.org>
Subject: RE: 242 King Street

Limhuot,

To respond to the calcs to me, this looks good. I agree the ponding area can be removed and the water quality is met by combining CDM's and GPR's design under the common plan of development.

Thanks,

Jim

James Thorne, PE (He/Him/His)

From: Limhuot Tiv <ltiv@gpr-inc.com>
Sent: Monday, December 22, 2025 11:51 AM

To: James Thorne <jthorne@greenintl.com>
Cc: Bruce D. Ringwall <BRingwall@gpr-inc.com>; David Ketchen <dketchen@lelwd.com>; Maren Toohill <mtoohill@littletonma.org>; Tim Pearson <tpearson@littletonma.org>; Lauren Redosh <lredosh@littletonma.org>; cmathews@littletonma.org
Subject: Re: 242 King Street

Good morning Jim,

Summary of the areas/calc you requested below:

Total Existing Impervious Area on Site = 36,405± SF

Proposed removal/replacement driveway and walkway = +750± SF

Proposed removal of existing shed and pool = -1,405± SF

Total Proposed Impervious Area on Site = 35,750± SF

Required WQV for the site = 35,750 x (1 in/12 ft) = 2,979± CF

Total WQV provided for the site under CDM Smith's design = 3,430± CF

The proposed project will have a net decrease in impervious area of approximately 655± SF. We will proceed with the removal of the ponding area, and an updated plan will be provided to both Cons Com and PB.

For Maren and Cooper,

I do have a question for the planning department regarding the stormwater permit application that was filed. This project is only applicable for the filing of the stormwater permit due to the fact that we need to make use of the lot area; the actual total disturbance area is under 15,000 SF for the current project. Now that we're removing the ponding area altogether, procedurally, does it make sense to withdraw the application altogether? or would it make sense to submit a waiver request to not have to provide onsite stormwater management since it's already been accounted for under the previous project?

I understand not everyone may be available this week, but please let us know how you would like us to proceed when possible. Thank you.

Limhuot

From: James Thorne <jthorne@greenintl.com>

Sent: Friday, December 19, 2025 5:48 PM

To: Limhuot Tiv <ltiv@gpr-inc.com>

Cc: Bruce D. Ringwall <BRingwall@gpr-inc.com>; David Ketchen <dketchen@lelwd.com>; Maren Toohill <mtoohill@littletonma.org>; Tim Pearson <tpearson@littletonma.org>; Lauren Redosh